
# STUDY

## INITIAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION

MULTI-RESIDENTIAL STRUCTURES

Prepared by Walter G. M. Schneider III, Ph.D., P.E., CBO, MCP, CFO





#### Introduction

For the Denver, Colorado April 2022 cost comparison it was decided to use federal prevailing wages based on the local construction climate. The reader is referred to the *Study, Initial Cost of Construction, Multi-Residential Structures, October 2017* original report for a complete discussion on study design and methodology. It has been determined that the insurance industry recognizes that there is a relative risk differential between wood construction materials and the other materials used in this study. The differential risk is included in this study and reflected in the builder risk insurance costs.


#### **Study Results and Discussion**

The results of the construction cost study for each geographic location are presented in the following tables. The relative cost presented is a percentage of the conventional wood frame system.

#### Denver, Colorado

Denver, Colorado - April 2022							
Federal Prevailing Wages			•		_	1/O E1	Dalatina Ocat
Building System	Insurance Cost		Construction Cost		Cost/Sq Ft		Relative Cost
CONVENTIONAL WOOD FRAMING	\$	224,881	\$	30,160,530	\$	312.22	100
LIGHT GAGE STEEL FRAMING	\$	123,740	\$	30,137,190	\$	311.98	100
MASONRY & PRECAST	\$	92,788	\$	29,879,624	\$	309.31	99
PRECAST CONSTRUCTION	\$	105,361	\$	33,928,314	\$	351.22	112
ICF WALLS & PRECAST PLANK	\$	130,657	\$	31,821,915	\$	329.42	106
ICF WALLS & ICF CONCRETE FLOOR ALTERNATE	\$	134,620	\$	32,787,234	\$	339.41	109
1							

The least expensive system is the load bearing masonry wall system with precast concrete plank floor system. The relative cost of the most expensive framing system, the precast concrete wall and precast floor system is 13.6 percent higher. The conventional light weight wood framing system is an increased cost of 0.9 percent over the load bearing masonry wall system with precast concrete plank floor system. This is also 0.8 percent lower than the light gage steel framing system, with respect to the load bearing masonry wall system with precast concrete plank floor system.



#### **Study Conclusions and Recommendations**

Based on the construction cost estimates prepared by Mr. Maholtz, the cost associated with using a compartmentalized construction method utilizing a concrete based construction material was very favorable with light weight conventional wood frame construction cost and light gage steel framing construction cost. All of the concrete based construction systems were within a 12 percent increase over the light weight conventional wood frame construction system. In many cases this amount can be partially offset by the contingency budget typically recommended for the owner to carry for unanticipated expenditures during the project.


The minimal increase in construction cost can also help pay for itself over the life of the structure. Materials like concrete masonry, precast concrete, and cast-in-place concrete have many other advantages beyond their inherent fire performance including resistance to mold growth, resistance to damage from vandalism, and minimal damage caused by water and fire in the event of a fire in the building. In many cases, with this type of construction the damage outside of the fire compartment is minimal. This provides for reduced cleanup costs and quicker reoccupation of the structure.


Based on the results of this study, we recommend that a similar study be undertaken to evaluate use of similar construction techniques and their associated construction cost impact on other typical building types like, retail establishments, and commercial office buildings.

# STUDY

### **INITIAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION**

MULTI-RESIDENTIAL STRUCTURES





rmmi.org

FOR INFORMATION CONTACT:

Pennsylvania Concrete Masonry Association • PACMA.COM Email: jboyer@pacma.com • Phone: 717.279.6346 813 Chestnut Street • Lebanon, PA 17042